

Application S/N 10/741,853
Amendment Dated: February 14, 2007
Response to Office Action dated: January 16, 2007

CE12083JME

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 14-23 and 25-28 remain pending in the application, as claims 1-13, 24 and 29 have been canceled without prejudice. In addition, new claims 30-32 have been added. Support for the new claims can be found in FIGs. 2-4 and on page 11, line 8 to page 12, line 23. In the Office Action, claim 8 was objected to as being in improper dependent form. Claim 8 has been canceled, and Applicant requests that the Examiner withdraw the objection.

Also, in the Office Action, claims 1, 2 and 19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0141743 to Seto (Seto). Further, claims 3-5, 12-13 and 20-24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seto in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0040192 to Steven III, et al. (Steven). Claims 6-11 and 25-28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seto in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0014537 to Gammon, et al. (Gammon). Finally, claims 14-18 were allowed.

Independent claim 19 has been amended by incorporating therein the relevant limitations of allowed independent claim 14. As such, Applicant submits that the above claims are now patentable over the prior art. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of the claims is respectfully requested. Passing of this case is now believed to be in order, and a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. No amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing

Application S/N 10/741,653
Amendment Dated: February 14, 2007
Response to Office Action dated: January 16, 2007

CE12083JME

the scope of any claim, unless Applicant has argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

In the event that the Examiner deems the present application non-allowable, it is requested that the Examiner telephone the Applicant's attorney or agent at the number indicated below so that the prosecution of the present case may be advanced by the clarification of any continuing rejection.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any necessary fee, or credit any overpayment, to Motorola, Inc. Deposit Account No. 50-2117.

Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Motorola, Inc.
Law Department - MD 1610
8000 W. Sunrise Blvd.
Plantation, FL 33322

Customer Number: 24273

By:



Larry G. Brown
Attorney of Record
Reg. No.: 45,834

Telephone: (954) 723-4295
Fax No.: (954) 723-3871